IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE J.M.JAMES

FRIDAY, THE 2ND FEBRUARY 2007 / 13TH MAGHA 1928

WP(C).No. 34028 of 2006(A)

PETITIONER:

SAJEEVAN.C.V., CHERUKUNNEL HOUSE,
MANNARKAYAM P.O., KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.
ASSISTANT MANAGER, RUBCO SREEKANDAPURAM LATEX (P) LTD.

BY ADV. SRI.H.BADARUDDIN
SRI.C.A.JOSEPH

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES (GENERAL), THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR,
RUBCO SREEKANDAPURAM LATEX (P) LTD.,
4TH FLOOR, K.V.R.TOWERS, SOUTH BAZAR,
KANNUR-670 002.

3. THE MANAGER (PERSONAL & ADMINISTRATION),
RUBCO SREEKANDAPURAM LATEX (P) LTD.,
4TH FLOOR, K.V.R.TOWERS, SOUTH BAZAR,
KANNUR-670 002.

BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER, SRI.P.K.RAVIKRISHNAN FOR R1

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 02/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

EXT.P1	TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.RUB/HO/A&P/SLPL/03/B-286, DATED 04/05/2006
EXT.P2	TRUE COPY OF THE RELIEVING ORDER DATED 28/04/2006
EXT.P3	TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 11/08/2003
EXT.P4	TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 01/06/2006
EXT.P5	TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 05/11/2006

\\TRUE COPY//

J.M.JAMES, J.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

W.P.(C) No. 34028 of 2006 (A)

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

Dated this the 2nd day of February, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The writ petitioner was working under the second respondent, from 01/04/1997 to 04/05/2006. He was relieved from the service on the latter date. He has made Exhibit P4 representation dated 01/06/2006 before the second respondent for payment of gratuity. Similarly, he also made Exhibit P5 representation dated 05/11/2006, requesting for the payment of Rs.17,532/- towards Earned Leave Surrender. The writ petitioner complaints that Exhibits P4 and P5 are not so far considered and, therefore, prays for a direction to the second respondent, to consider the representations and pass appropriate orders, within a time limit.

2. Though the service is complete, there is no representation from respondents 2 and 3, except the Senior Government Pleader representing the first respondent.

In the above facts situation, I dispose of the writ petition directing the second respondent to consider Exhibits P4

and P5, and pass orders on it, on merit, after hearing the writ petitioner, within two months from the date of production of a copy of this judgment before the second respondent.

(J.M.JAMES)
Judge

ms